arXiv:math/9906050v1 [math.PR] 8 Jun 1999

1

0

Taylor-Kubo Formula for Turbulent Diffusion in a Non-Mixing Flow with Long-Range Correlation

Albert C. Fannjiang
Department of Mathematics, University of California, Davis

Tomasz Komorowski
Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley

February 1, 2008

Abstract We prove the Taylor-Kubo formula for a class of isotropic, non-mixing flows with long-range correlation. For the proof, we develop the method of high order correctors expansion.

Keywords Turbulent diffusion, mixing, corrector.

AMS subject classification Primary 60F05, 76F05, 76R50; Secondary 58F25.

Abbreviated title Taylor-Kubo formula for non-mixing flows.

---

[*] The research of A. F. is supported by National Science Foundation Grant No. DMS-9600119.

1 Introduction

Let the deterministic motion of a passive particle in a random velocity field $\mathbf{V}(t, \boldsymbol{x})$ be described by

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\mathbf{V}(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}, \boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(t)) \tag{1}$$

where ε is a small parameter and $\mathbf{V}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) = (V_1(t, \boldsymbol{x}), \cdots, V_d(t, \boldsymbol{x}))$ a time-stationary, space-homogeneous, incompressible, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} = 0$, zero-mean velocity field, The question is to determine the asymptotics, as ε tends to zero, of the displacement $\boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(t)$ from the statistics of the velocity.

Scaling like (1) arises naturally when the velocity has a non-zero mean drift and a small fluctuation $\bar{\mathbf{V}} + \varepsilon\mathbf{V}'(t, \boldsymbol{x})$. By changing to the moving coordinate system $\boldsymbol{x} \to \boldsymbol{x} + \bar{\mathbf{V}}t$ and taking the long time limit $t \to t/\varepsilon^2$ we have a equation of the form (1) with $\mathbf{V}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) = \mathbf{V}'(t, \boldsymbol{x} + \bar{\mathbf{V}}t)$.

Under the assumption of *strong mixing in time* among other things, Kesten and Papanicolaou [7] proved that $\boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(t)$ converges to a Brownian motion with the diffusion coefficient given by a Taylor-Kubo formula

$$D^*_{ij} = \int_0^\infty \left\{\mathbf{E}[V_i(t, \mathbf{0})V_j(0, \mathbf{0})] + \mathbf{E}[V_i(t, \mathbf{0})V_j(0, \mathbf{0})]\right\} dt \tag{2}$$

(see also Carmona and Fouque [2] for the corresponding result of stochastic flows). No assumption on the decay of velocity decorrelation in space is required.

Convergence to Brownian motion in the absence of molecular diffusion is often referred to as *turbulent diffusion* because the large scale diffusive motion is a result of random flows instead of molecular diffusion.

Does the turbulent diffusion theorem hold for velocities lacking the temporal mixing property but with correlations decaying in space? To study the interplay of temporal and spatial correlations in a precise way, we consider the class of time-stationary, space-homogeneous, isotropic, Markov, Gaussian velocity fields $\mathbf{V}(t, \boldsymbol{x})$, defined on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{V}, P)$, with two-point correlation tensor $\mathbf{R} = [R_{ij}(t, \boldsymbol{x})]$ given by the Fourier transform

$$R_{ij}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) = \mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{V}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) \otimes \mathbf{V}(0, \mathbf{0})\right] = \tag{3}$$

$$\int_{R^d} \cos{(\mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{x})} e^{-|\mathbf{k}|^{2\beta}t} \hat{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{k}$$

where $\mathbf{E}$ stands for the expectation. The spectral density $\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{k})$ is given by a power law

$$\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{a(|\mathbf{k}|)}{|\mathbf{k}|^{2\alpha+d-2}} \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k}}{|\mathbf{k}|^2}\right), \quad \alpha < 1, \quad \beta \geq 0, \tag{4}$$

with a compactly supported, continuous function $a : [0, +\infty) \to R_+$. The factor $\mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{k}}{|\mathbf{k}|^2}$ in (4) ensures that the velocity field is incompressible.

The function $\exp(-|\mathbf{k}|^{2\beta}t)$ in (3) is called the *time correlation function* of the velocity $\mathbf{V}$. The spectral density $\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{k})$ is integrable over $\mathbf{k}$ for $\alpha < 1$ and, thus, (3)-(4) defines a velocity field with a finite second moment. The ultraviolet cut-off K is needed to avoid divergence of integral over large $|\mathbf{k}|$. Because of the ultraviolet cutoff $\mathbf{V}$ is jointly continuous in $t, \boldsymbol{x}$ and is C^∞ in $\boldsymbol{x}$ almost surely.

The parameter α is directly related to the decay exponent of $\mathbf{R}$: $\mathbf{R}(\boldsymbol{x}) \sim |\boldsymbol{x}|^{\alpha-1}$ for $|\boldsymbol{x}| \gg 1$. As α increases to one, the spatial decay exponent of $\mathbf{R}$ decreases to zero and, consequently, spatial correlation of velocity increses. On the other hand, for $\beta > 0$, the velocity field lacks the spectral gap and thus strong mixing property (see [11]). We restrict our attention to the case $\alpha < 1, \beta > 0$ which corresponds to a velocity field with arbitrarily long scales but not the strong mixing property.

What is the region in the (α, β) plane where the turbulent diffusion theorem, with the Taylor-Kubo formula, holds? It is easy to find the necessary condition by imposing the convergence of the Taylor-Kubo formula. A plain calculation

$$D^*_{ij} = \int_0^\infty R_{ij}(t, 0)dt \tag{5}$$

$$= \int_{R^d} (\delta_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{|\mathbf{k}|^2}) a(|\mathbf{k}|)|\mathbf{k}|^{2-2\alpha-d} \int_0^\infty \exp(-|\mathbf{k}|^{2\beta}t)dtd\mathbf{k} \tag{6}$$

$$= \int_{R^d} (\delta_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{|\mathbf{k}|^2}) \frac{a(|\mathbf{k}|)}{|\mathbf{k}|^{2\alpha+2\beta-1}} \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{|\mathbf{k}|^{d-1}} \tag{7}$$

leads to the condition

$$\alpha + \beta < 1. \tag{8}$$

As it turns out, (8) is also sufficient. This is our main result which generalizes the turbulent diffusion theorem of [7] to a class of non-mixing flows.

Theorem 1 *Let $\mathbf{V}(t, \boldsymbol{x})$ be a Markov, Gaussian velocity field with correlation given by (3)-(4). Then, for $\alpha + \beta < 1$, the invariance principle holds for the displacement $\boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(t)$. Namely, $\boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(t)$, as continuous process, converges weakly, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, to a Brownian Motion with covariance matrix given by formula (2).*

Additional molecular diffusion in (1) would act like a regular perturbation to the eddy diffusivity defined by the Taylor-Kubo formula.

What happens if (8) is violated? From the divergence of the Taylor-Kubo formula one judges that the long time asymptotics should be faster than diffusion and, consequently, a different scaling limit is necessary. It turns out that under an anomalous scaling the displacement converges to a fractional Brownian motion. The fractional Brownian motion limit theorem will be studied in a forthcoming paper. The dichotomy is conveniently represented in the figure.

2 Multiple stochastic integrals

By the Spectral Theorem (see, e.g., [12]) we assume without loss of generality that there exist two independent, identically distributed, real vector valued, Gaussian spectral measures $\hat{\mathbf{V}}_l(t,\cdot)$, $l=0,1$ such that

$$\mathbf{V}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \int \hat{\mathbf{V}}_0(t,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}), \tag{9}$$

where

$$\hat{\mathbf{V}}_0(t,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}) := c_0(\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x})\hat{\mathbf{V}}_0(t,d\mathbf{k}) + c_1(\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x})\hat{\mathbf{V}}_1(t,d\mathbf{k})$$

with $c_0(\phi)\equiv\cos(\phi), c_1(\phi)\equiv\sin(\phi)$. Define also

$$\hat{\mathbf{V}}_1(t,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}) := -c_1(\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x})\hat{\mathbf{V}}_0(t,d\mathbf{k}) + c_0(\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x})\hat{\mathbf{V}}_1(t,d\mathbf{k}).$$

We have the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\hat{\mathbf{V}}_0(t,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k})/\partial x_j &= k_j\hat{\mathbf{V}}_1(t,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}), && (10)\\ \partial\hat{\mathbf{V}}_1(t,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k})/\partial x_j &= -k_j\hat{\mathbf{V}}_0(t,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}). && (11) \end{aligned}$$

One can check that $\int\hat{\mathbf{V}}_1(t,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k})$ is a random field distributed identically to and independently of $\mathbf{V}$.

We define the *multiple stochastic integral*

$$\int\cdots\int \psi(\mathbf{k}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{k}_N)\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{l_1}(t_1,\boldsymbol{x}_1,d\mathbf{k}_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{l_N}(t_N,\boldsymbol{x}_N,d\mathbf{k}_N) \tag{12}$$

for any $l_1,\cdots,l_N\in\{0,1\}$ and a suitable family of functions ψ by using the *Fubini theorem* (see (13) below). For $\psi_1,\cdots,\psi_N\in\mathcal{S}(R^d)$, the Schwartz space, and $l_1,\cdots,l_N\in\{0,1\}$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} &\int\cdots\int \psi_1(\mathbf{k}_1)\cdots\psi_N(\mathbf{k}_N)\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{l_1}(t_1,\boldsymbol{x}_1,d\mathbf{k}_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{l_N}(t_N,\boldsymbol{x}_N,d\mathbf{k}_N) && (13)\\ :=\ &\int\psi_1(\mathbf{k}_1)\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{l_1}(t_1,\boldsymbol{x}_1,d\mathbf{k}_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\int\psi_N(\mathbf{k}_N)\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{l_N}(t_N,\boldsymbol{x}_N,d\mathbf{k}_N). \end{aligned}$$

We then extend the definition of multiple integration to the closure $\mathcal{H}$ of the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}((R^d)^N, R)$ under the norm

$$\|\psi\|^2 := \int \cdots \int \psi(\mathbf{k}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{k}_N)\psi(\mathbf{k}'_1, \cdots, \mathbf{k}'_N) \tag{14}$$

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{l_1}(t_1, \boldsymbol{x}_1, d\mathbf{k}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{l_N}(t_N, \boldsymbol{x}_N, d\mathbf{k}_N) : \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{l_1}(t_1, \boldsymbol{x}_1, d\mathbf{k}'_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{l_N}(t_N, \boldsymbol{x}_N, d\mathbf{k}'_N)\right].$$

The expectation is to be calculated by the formal rule

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\widehat{V}_{l,i}(t, \boldsymbol{x}, d\mathbf{k})\widehat{V}_{l',i'}(t', \boldsymbol{x}', d\mathbf{k}')\right] = e^{-|\mathbf{k}|^{2\beta}|t-t'|}\delta_{l,l'}c_0(\mathbf{k}\cdot(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}'))\widehat{R}_{i,i'}(\mathbf{k})\delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')d\mathbf{k}d\mathbf{k}'.$$

Among various possible definitions of multiple stochastic integral (see, e.g., [13, 15, 14]) this approach ([15]) seems to best serve our purposes.

We denote the stochastic integral (12) by $\Psi_\ell(t_1, \cdots, t_N, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_N)$ or, sometimes, simply by Ψ_ℓ. Here $\ell = (l_1, \cdots, l_N), l_1, \cdots, l_N \in \{0, 1\}$. Sometimes when $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \cdots, i_d)$, with $i_1, \cdots, i_d \in \{1, 2, \cdots, d\}$ is fixed we shall denote the corresponding component of the above tensor valued random field by $\Psi_{\ell,\mathbf{i}}$.

Note that $\Psi_{\ell,\mathbf{i}} \in H^N(\mathbf{V})$ - the Hilbert space obtained as a completion of the space of N-th degree polynomials in variables $\int \psi(\mathbf{k})\widehat{\mathbf{V}}(t, \boldsymbol{x}, \mathbf{k})$ with respect to the standard L^2 norm.

Proposition 1 *For any $(t_1, \boldsymbol{x}_1), \cdots, (t_N, \boldsymbol{x}_N) \in R \times R^d$ and $p > 0$, $\Psi_{\ell,\mathbf{i}}$ belongs to $L^p(\Omega)$ and*

$$(\mathbf{E}|\Psi_{\ell,\mathbf{i}}|^p)^{1/p} \le C\left(\mathbf{E}|\Psi_{\ell,\mathbf{i}}|^2\right)^{1/2} \tag{15}$$

with the constant C depending only on p, N and the dimension d. Moreover, $\Psi_{\ell,\mathbf{i}}$ is differentiable in the mean square sense with

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}_j}\Psi_{\ell,\mathbf{i}}(t_1, \cdots, t_N, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_N) = \int \cdots \int \mathbf{k}_j\psi(\mathbf{k}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{k}_N) \tag{16}$$

$$\widehat{V}_{l_1,i_1}(t_1, \boldsymbol{x}_1, d\mathbf{k}_1) \cdots \widehat{V}_{1-l_j,i_j}(t_j, \boldsymbol{x}_j, d\mathbf{k}_j) \cdots \widehat{V}_{l_N,i_N}(t_N, \boldsymbol{x}_N, d\mathbf{k}_N).$$

The proof of Proposition 1 is standard and follows directly from the well known hypercontractivity property for Gaussian measures (see, e.g., [6], Theorem 5.1. and its corollaries), so we do not repeat it here.

The field $\mathbf{V}$ is Markovian

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\int \psi(\mathbf{k})\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_l(t, \boldsymbol{x}, d\mathbf{k}) \mid \mathcal{V}_{-\infty,s}\right] = \int e^{-|\mathbf{k}|^{2\beta}(t-s)}\psi(\mathbf{k})\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_l(s, \boldsymbol{x}, d\mathbf{k}), \quad l = 0, 1, \tag{17}$$

for all $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(R^d, R)$, where $\mathcal{V}_{a,b}$ denotes the σ-algebra generated by random variables $\mathbf{V}(t, \boldsymbol{x})$, for $t \in [a, b]$ and $\boldsymbol{x} \in R^d$.

To calculate expectation of multiple product of Gaussian random variables, it is convenient to use a graphical representation, borrowed from quantum field theory. We refer to, e.g., Glimm and Jaffe [4] and Janson [6]. A *Feynman diagram* $\mathcal{F}$ (of order $n \geq 0$ and rank $r \geq 0$) is a graph consisting of a set $B(\mathcal{F})$ of n vertices and a set $E(\mathcal{F})$ of r edges without common endpoints. So there are r pairs of vertices, each joined by an edge, and $n - 2r$ unpaired vertices, called free vertices. $B(\mathcal{F})$ is a set of positive integers. An edge whose endpoints are $m, n \in B$ is represented by $\widehat{mn}$ (unless otherwise specified, we always assume $m < n$); and an edge includes its endpoints. A diagram $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be *based on* $B(\mathcal{F})$. Denote the set of free vertices (i.e. points which are not endpoints of any edges) by $A(\mathcal{F})$, so $A(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F} \setminus E(\mathcal{F})$. The diagram is *complete* if $A(\mathcal{F})$ is empty and *incomplete*, otherwise. Denote by $\mathcal{G}(B)$ the set of all diagrams based on B, by $\mathcal{G}_c(B)$ the set of all complete diagrams based on B and by $\mathcal{G}_i(B)$ the set of all incomplete diagrams based on B. A special class of diagrams, denoted by $\mathcal{G}_s(B)$, plays an important role in the subsequent analysis: a diagram $\mathcal{F}$ of order n belongs to $\mathcal{G}_s(B)$ if $A_k(\mathcal{F})$ is not empty for all $k = 0, 1, ..., n$. Let $B = \{1, 2, 3, ..., n\}$. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_{|k}$ the sub-diagram of $\mathcal{F}$, based on $\{1, \cdots, k\}$. Define $A_k(\mathcal{F}) = A(\mathcal{F}_{|k})$.

We work out the conditional expectation for multiple spectral integrals using the Markov property (17).

Proposition 2 *For any function $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $l_1, \cdots, l_N \in \{0, 1\}$, $i_1, \cdots, i_N \in \{1, \cdots, d\}$,*

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\int \cdots \int \psi(\mathbf{k}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{k}_N) \widehat{V}_{l_1,i_1}(t, \boldsymbol{x}_1, d\mathbf{k}_1) \cdots \widehat{V}_{l_N,i_N}(t, \boldsymbol{x}_N, d\mathbf{k}_N) \mid \mathcal{V}_{-\infty,s}\right] = \tag{18}$$

$$\sum_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{G}(\{1,...,N\})} \int \cdots \int \exp\left\{-\sum_{m \in A(\mathcal{F})} |\mathbf{k}_m|^{2\beta}(t-s)\right\} \psi(\mathbf{k}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{k}_N) \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{s,\boldsymbol{x}_1,\cdots,\boldsymbol{x}_N}(d\mathbf{k}_1, \cdots, d\mathbf{k}_N; \mathcal{F})$$

with

$$\widehat{V}_{s,\boldsymbol{x}_1,\cdots,\boldsymbol{x}_N}(d\mathbf{k}_1, \cdots, d\mathbf{k}_N; \mathcal{F}) := \prod_{m \in A(\mathcal{F})} \widehat{V}_{l_m,i_m}(s, \boldsymbol{x}_m, d\mathbf{k}_m) \tag{19}$$

$$\prod_{\widehat{mn} \in E(\mathcal{F})} \left[1 - e^{-\left(|\mathbf{k}_m|^{2\beta} + |\mathbf{k}_n|^{2\beta}\right)(t-s)}\right] \mathbf{E}[\widehat{V}_{l_m,i_m}(s, \boldsymbol{x}_m, d\mathbf{k}_m) \widehat{V}_{l_n,i_n}(s, \boldsymbol{x}_n, d\mathbf{k}_n)].$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we consider $\psi(\mathbf{k}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{k}_N) = \mathbf{1}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}_1) \cdots \mathbf{1}_{A_N}(\mathbf{k}_N)$ for some Borel sets $A_1, \cdots, A_N$.

$\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_l(t, A_i) = \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_l^0(t, A_i) + \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_l^1(t, A_i)$ where $\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_l^0(t, \cdot)$, $\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_l^1(t, \cdot)$ are the orthogonal projection of $\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_l(t, \cdot)$ on the space $L^2_{-\infty,s}$ and its complement, respectively. Here $L^2_{a,b}$ denotes L^2 closure of the linear span over $\mathbf{V}(s, \boldsymbol{x})$, $a \leq s \leq b$, $\boldsymbol{x} \in R^d$. The conditional expectation in (18) equals

$$\sum_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{G}(\{1,...,N\})} \prod_{\widehat{mn} \in E(\mathcal{F})} \mathbf{E}\left[\widehat{V}^1_{i_m,l_m}(t, A_m) \widehat{V}^1_{i_n,l_n}(t, A_n)\right] \prod_{m \in A(\mathcal{F})} \widehat{V}^0_{i_m,l_m}(t, A_m).$$

The statement follows upon the application of the relations

$$\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_l^0(t,A)=\int\limits_A e^{-|\mathbf{k}|^{2\beta}(t-s)}\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_l(s,d\mathbf{k})$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_l^1(t,A)\otimes\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{l'}^1(t,B)\right]=$$

$$\int\limits_A\int\limits_B\delta_{l,l'}\left\{\mathbf{E}\left[\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_l(t,d\mathbf{k})\otimes\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{l'}(t,d\mathbf{k}')\right]-\mathbf{E}\left[\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_l^0(t,d\mathbf{k})\otimes\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{l'}^0(t,d\mathbf{k}')\right]\right\}.$$

∎

For fixed $\boldsymbol{x}\in R^d$ we define a process

$$\Psi_\ell(t;\boldsymbol{x}):=\Psi_\ell(t,\cdots,t,\boldsymbol{x},\cdots,\boldsymbol{x}),\qquad\text{for } t\geq 0.$$

Let us introduce, after Chapter 3 of [9], the *pseudogenerator* of the above process $\mathcal{L}(t;\boldsymbol{x})$, $t\geq 0$ as

$$\lim_{\delta\downarrow 0}\frac{\mathbf{E}\left[\Psi_\ell(t+\delta;\boldsymbol{x})\mid\mathcal{V}_{-\infty,t}\right]-\Psi_\ell(t;\boldsymbol{x})}{\delta}$$

in the L^1 sense. After elementary calculations using (18) we obtain that

$$\mathcal{L}(t;\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{\widehat{pq}}\int\cdots\int(|\mathbf{k}_p|^{2\beta}+|\mathbf{k}_q|^{2\beta})\psi(\mathbf{k}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{k}_N)\delta(\mathbf{k}_p-\mathbf{k}_q)\delta_{l_p,l_q}\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{\widehat{pq}}(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}_1,\cdots,d\mathbf{k}_N)d\mathbf{k}_pd\mathbf{k}_q. \tag{20}$$

Here the summation extends over all edges $\widehat{pq}$ with vertices belonging to the set $\mathcal{S}=\{1,\cdots,N\}$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{\widehat{pq}}(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}_1,\cdots,d\mathbf{k}_N)$ indicates that the multiple stochastic integration is taken over the product of stochastic measures $\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{l_n}(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}_n)$ for all $n\in\mathcal{S}\setminus\{p,q\}$.

The fundamental property of $\mathcal{L}(t;\boldsymbol{x})$, $t\geq 0$ is that for any fixed $\boldsymbol{x}$

$$M(t;\boldsymbol{x}):=\Psi_\ell(t;\boldsymbol{x})-\int\limits_0^t\mathcal{L}(s;\boldsymbol{x})ds \tag{21}$$

is a continuous trajectory martingale, cf. [9]. In fact we can give an explicit expression on its quadratic variation process $<M>_t$, $t\geq 0$ in terms of the spectral representation.

Proposition 3 *For any fixed* $\boldsymbol{x}_1,\cdots,\boldsymbol{x}_N\in R^d$

$$<M(,\cdot;\boldsymbol{x})>_t=\int\limits_0^t W(s,\boldsymbol{x})ds \tag{22}$$

where

$$W(t,\boldsymbol{x}):=2\sum_{\widehat{pq}}\int\cdots\int\int\cdots\int\psi(\mathbf{k}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{k}_N)\psi(\mathbf{k}'_1,\cdots,\mathbf{k}'_N)a(|\mathbf{k}_p|)|\mathbf{k}_p|^{2\beta+2-2\alpha-d}$$

$$\left(\mathbf{I}-\frac{\mathbf{k}_p\otimes\mathbf{k}_p}{|\mathbf{k}_p|^2}\right)\otimes\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{\widehat{p,q}}(t,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}_1,\cdots,d\mathbf{k}_{2N})\delta(\mathbf{k}_p-\mathbf{k}_q)\delta_{l_p,l_q}d\mathbf{k}_pd\mathbf{k}_qd\mathbf{k}_pd\mathbf{k}_q.$$

Here we adopt the convention that $\mathbf{k}'_n=\mathbf{k}_{N+n}$, $l_n=l_{N+n}$. *The summation extends over all edges* $\widehat{pq}$ *with vertices* p,q *belonging to the sets* $\{1,\cdots,N\}$ *and* $\{N+1,\cdots,2N\}$ *respectively.*

Proof. The quadratic variation of $M(\cdot;\boldsymbol{x})$ coincides with the pseudogenerator of $M(\cdot;\boldsymbol{x})\otimes M(\cdot;\boldsymbol{x})$. After an elementary calculation we get that the left hand side of (22) equals

$$\mathcal{L}'(t;\boldsymbol{x})-\Psi_\ell(t;\boldsymbol{x})\otimes\mathcal{L}(t;\boldsymbol{x})-\mathcal{L}(t;\boldsymbol{x})\otimes\Psi_\ell(t;\boldsymbol{x}),$$

with $\mathcal{L}'(t;\boldsymbol{x})$, $t\geq 0$ denoting the pseudogenerator of $\Psi_\ell(t;\boldsymbol{x})\otimes\Psi_\ell(t;\boldsymbol{x})$, $t\geq 0$. The proof of the proposition can be then concluded from an application of formula (20) ∎

3 Proof of Theorem 1

We begin this section by defining the key concepts: *the n-th λ-corrector*, $\chi_\lambda^{(n)}$, and *the n-th λ-convector*, $\mathbf{U}_\lambda^{(n)}$ recursively:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{U}_\lambda^{(0)}(s,\boldsymbol{x}) &= \mathbf{V}(s,\boldsymbol{x}) && (23)\\ \chi_\lambda^{(n)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) &:= \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda(s-t)}\mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{U}_\lambda^{(n-1)}(s,\boldsymbol{x})\mid\mathcal{V}_{-\infty,t}\right]ds && (24)\\ \mathbf{U}_\lambda^{(n)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) &:= \mathbf{V}(s,\boldsymbol{x})\cdot\nabla\chi_\lambda^{(n)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}). && (25)\end{aligned}$$

The differentiation in (25) is taken in the mean square sense.

The definitions requires the integrability of convectors and the differentiability of correctors, as stated in the following lemma.

Lemma 1 $\chi_\lambda^{(n)}$, $\mathbf{U}_\lambda^{(n)}$ *are* L^p *integrable for any* $p\geq 1$, $\lambda>0$ *and* $n\geq 0$ *and satisfy the following hypercontractivity property*

$$\left(\mathbf{E}|\chi_\lambda^{(n)}(t,\boldsymbol{x})|^p\right)^{1/p}\leq C\left(\mathbf{E}|\chi_\lambda^{(n)}(t,\boldsymbol{x})|^2\right)^{1/2} \tag{26}$$

$$\left(\mathbf{E}|\mathbf{U}_\lambda^{(n)}(t,\boldsymbol{x})|^p\right)^{1/p}\leq C\left(\mathbf{E}|\mathbf{U}_\lambda^{(n)}(t,\boldsymbol{x})|^2\right)^{1/2},$$

where the constant $C>0$ *depends on* n,p,d *but not on* λ.

There exist versions of $\chi_\lambda^{(n)}$ *and* $\mathbf{U}_\lambda^{(n)}$ *which are jointly stationary in the strict sense, continuous in both* $(t,\boldsymbol{x})$, C^∞ *smooth in* $\boldsymbol{x}$.

L^p integrability follows from the hypercontractivity property on Gaussian Measure spaces (see Theorem 5.1, Nelson's theorem and the corollaries in [6]). The existence of regular versions of the fields can be proved by using aforementioned Nelson's theorem in conjunction with Kolmogorov's criterion of continuous processes (see Theorem 3.2.5 and corollary, [1]).

This and other lemmas in this section are proved in the next section.

We define *the n-th rescaled corrector along the path* by

$$\chi_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(t) := \varepsilon^n \chi_{\varepsilon^2}^{(n)}(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{\varepsilon}(t)) \tag{27}$$

and *the n-th rescaled convector* by

$$\mathbf{U}_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(t) := \varepsilon^{n-1} \mathbf{U}_{\varepsilon^2}^{(n)}(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{\varepsilon}(t)). \tag{28}$$

Because of the incompressibility of $\mathbf{V}$, both $\chi_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(t)$ and $\mathbf{U}_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(t)$ are stationary (see Theorem 2 of [10]).

Next we represent $\chi_{\lambda}^{(n)}$ by multiple spectral integrals. To simplify the presentation we introduce some notations. For $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \cdots, m_p)$ and $p' \le p$ we set $\mathbf{m}_{|p'} := (m_1, \cdots, m_{p'})$, $\mathbf{m}^{|p'} := (m_{p'}, \cdots, m_p)$ and $(\mathbf{m}, k) := (m_1, \cdots, m_p, k)$.

We introduce next the *proper* functions of order $n, \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \{1, \cdots, n\} \to \{0, 1\}$ that appears in the statement of the next lemma. The proper function of order 1 is unique and is given by $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(1) = 0$. Any proper function, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}'$, of order $n+1$ is generated from a proper function $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ of order n as follows. For some $p \le n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\sigma}'(n+1) &:= 0 \qquad (29) \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}'(k) &:= \boldsymbol{\sigma}(k) \quad \text{for} \quad k \le n \text{ and } k \ne p \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}'(p) &:= 1 - \boldsymbol{\sigma}(p). \qquad (30) \end{aligned}$$

In other words, each proper function $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ of order n generates n different proper functions of order $n+1$. Thus, the total number of proper functions of order n is $(n-2)$.

Lemma 2 *For any $i_1 \in \{1, \cdots, d\}$ and $\lambda > 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\chi_{\lambda, i_1}^{(n)}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) \qquad (31) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{i}^{|2}, \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{G}_s, \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \int \cdots \int \varphi_{\mathbf{i}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{k}_n) P_{n-1}(\mathcal{F}) \frac{Q(\mathcal{F})}{\lambda + \sum\limits_{m \in A_n(\mathcal{F})} |\mathbf{k}_m|^{2\beta}} \prod_{m \in A_n(\mathcal{F})} \widehat{V}_{\sigma_m, i_m}(t, \boldsymbol{x}, d\mathbf{k}_m) \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_{\mathbf{i}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}}^{(n)}$ are some functions with $\sup |\varphi_{\mathbf{i}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}}^{(n)}| \le 1$ and

$$P_{n-1}(\mathcal{F}) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\sum\limits_{m \in A_j(\mathcal{F})} |\mathbf{k}_m|}{\lambda + \sum\limits_{m \in A_j(\mathcal{F})} |\mathbf{k}_m|^{2\beta}} \tag{32}$$

$$Q(\mathcal{F}) \;=\; \prod_{\widehat{mm'}\in E(\mathcal{F})} \mathbf{E}\left[\widehat{V}_{\sigma_m,i_m}(0,d\mathbf{k}_m)\widehat{V}_{\sigma_{m'},i_{m'}}(0,d\mathbf{k}_{m'})\right] \tag{33}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is summed over all proper functions defined over $\{1,\cdots,n\}$.

Remark. Indexing terms of the sum in (31) with proper functions keeps track of differentiations we have to perform in the process of constructing consequtive $\chi^{(n)}$-s. The idea is as follows. The spectral representation of the n-th order corrector contains terms which are multiple spectral integrals with respect to the product measures of the form

$$\prod_{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F})} \widehat{V}_{\sigma_m,i_m}(t,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}_m). \tag{34}$$

Sigmas stand for the fact that there are two types of measures $\widehat{V}_0$, $\widehat{V}_1$ involved which are orthogonal to each other. In order to construct the next generation corrector we have to apply nabla operator in $\boldsymbol{x}$ to (34) using the Leibnitz rule. This procedure consists in picking some factor $\widehat{V}_{\sigma_p,i_p}(t,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}_p)$ for a certain $p\le n$, belonging to $A_n(\mathcal{F})$ and changing that term to $\widehat{V}_{1-\sigma_p,i_p}(t,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}_p)$. The factor $\mathbf{k}_p$ arising in the process will be absorbed into the remaining expression. Finally to form $\chi^{(n+1)}$ we have to multiply yet the entire expression by $\widehat{V}_{0,i_{n+1}}(t,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}_{n+1})$. The manipulations we have just described are reflected by the rule of forming the proper function of the consequtive generation described by formula (29).

The utility of the correctors and convectors depends on the following lemma.

Lemma 3 *We have the recursive scheme, for $n\ge 1$,*

$$\int_0^t \mathbf{U}_\varepsilon^{(n-1)}(s)ds = -\chi_\varepsilon^{(n)}(t)+\chi_\varepsilon^{(n)}(0)+\int_0^t \chi_\varepsilon^{(n)}(s)ds+\int_0^t \mathbf{U}_\varepsilon^{(n)}(s)ds+M_\varepsilon^{(n-1)}(t). \tag{35}$$

where $M_\varepsilon^{(0)}(t)$ is a Brownian Motion with the covariance matrix

$$\mathbf{D}_\varepsilon=\int_{R^d}\frac{|\mathbf{k}|^{2\beta}a(|\mathbf{k}|)}{|\mathbf{k}|^{2\alpha+d-2}(|\mathbf{k}|^{2\beta}+\varepsilon^2)^2}\left(\mathbf{I}-\frac{\mathbf{k}\otimes\mathbf{k}}{|\mathbf{k}|^2}\right)d\mathbf{k}. \tag{36}$$

and $M_\varepsilon^{(n)}(t), n\ge 1$, are continuous martingales with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{V}_{-\infty,\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}}$.

For $\alpha+\beta<1$ there exists $\gamma(n)>0$ such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\varepsilon^{-\gamma(n)}\mathbf{E}|\chi_\varepsilon^{(n)}(t)|^2=0,\quad \textit{for } n\ge 1 \tag{37}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\varepsilon^{-\gamma(n)}\mathbf{E}|\mathbf{U}_\varepsilon^{(n)}(t)|^2=0,\quad \textit{for } n\ge 2[\beta]+1,\quad [\beta]:\textit{the integer part of }\beta \tag{38}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\mathbf{E}|\varepsilon^{-\gamma(n)}M_\varepsilon^{(n)}(t)|^p=0,\ \textit{for } n\ge 1 \tag{39}$$

We apply now Lemma 3 to prove Theorem 1. By (35) we have

$$\boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(t) = M_\varepsilon^{(0)}(t) + R_\varepsilon(t) \tag{40}$$

with the remainder $R_\varepsilon(t)$

$$R_\varepsilon(t) = R_{s,\varepsilon}(0) - R_{s,\varepsilon}(t) + R_{m,\varepsilon}(t) + R_{a,\varepsilon}(t) \tag{41}$$

where

$$R_{s,\varepsilon}(t) := \sum_{n=1}^{2[\beta]+1} \chi_\varepsilon^{(n)}(t)$$

is stationary,

$$R_{a,\varepsilon}(t) := \sum_{n=1}^{2[\beta]+1} \int\limits_0^t \chi_\varepsilon^{(n)}(s)ds + \int\limits_0^t \mathbf{U}_\varepsilon^{(2[\beta]+1)}(s)ds \tag{42}$$

additive and

$$R_{m,\varepsilon}(t) := \sum_{n=1}^{2[\beta]} M_\varepsilon^{(n)}(t)$$

a vanishing martingale.

Clearly, $M_\varepsilon^{(0)}(t)$ converge, as continuous processes, to the Brownian motion with the covariance $\mathbf{D}_0 = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathbf{D}_\varepsilon$ (see, e.g., [5]).

To finish the proof it remains to show tightness $\lim\limits_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} P[\sup\limits_{0\le t\le T} |R_\varepsilon(t)| \ge \delta] = 0, \quad \forall T > 0,\ \delta > 0$. By Lemma 3 it suffices to show $\lim\limits_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} P[\sup\limits_{0\le t\le T} |R_{s,\varepsilon}(t)| \ge \delta] = 0$. Since $R_{s,\varepsilon}$ is stationary,

$$P[\sup_{0\le t\le T} |R_{s,\varepsilon}(t)| \ge \delta] \le \frac{T}{\varepsilon^2} P[\sup_{0\le t\le T\varepsilon^2} |R_{s,\varepsilon}(t)| \ge \delta]. \tag{43}$$

Using (40), (41) we bound the right side of (43) by

$$\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2} P[\sup_{0\le t\le T\varepsilon^2} [|R_{a,\varepsilon}(t)| + |\boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(t)|] \ge \delta] + \frac{T}{\varepsilon^2} P[\sup_{0\le t\le T\varepsilon^2} [|R_{m,\varepsilon}(t)| + |M_\varepsilon^{(0)}(t)|] \ge \delta] + \tag{44}$$

$$\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2} P[|R_{s,\varepsilon}(0)| \ge \delta].$$

The last term in (44) is smaller than

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}|R_{s,\varepsilon}(0)|^p T}{\varepsilon^2 \delta^p} \tag{45}$$

by Chebychev's inequality.

Let p be such that $\gamma p > 4$ where $\gamma = \min\limits_{1\le n\le N} \gamma(n)$. Expression (45) tends to 0 as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ by (37).

To prove that the second term of (44) vanishes as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ we only need to show that for any $n \geq 1$

$$\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2} P[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T\varepsilon^2} |M_\varepsilon^{(n)}(t)|] \geq \delta] \tag{46}$$

tends to 0 as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Using (38) and Kolmogorov's inequality we bound (46) by

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}|M_\varepsilon^{(n)}(T)|^p T}{\varepsilon^2 \delta^p} \leq C \frac{\varepsilon^{p\gamma(n)-2}}{T\delta^p}$$

which vanishes with ε.

The first term of (44) can be bounded by

$$C\left[\frac{\varepsilon^2 T}{\delta^2} \sum_{n=1}^{2[\beta]+1} \mathbf{E}|\chi_\varepsilon^{(n)}(0)|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2 T}{\delta^2} \mathbf{E}|\varepsilon^{2[\beta]} \mathbf{U}_{\varepsilon^2}^{(2[\beta]+1)}(0,\mathbf{0})|^2 + \frac{T}{\varepsilon^2} P[\int_0^T |\mathbf{V}(s, \varepsilon \boldsymbol{x}(s))|\, ds \geq \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}]\right]. \tag{47}$$

Expression (47) tends to zero by Lemma 3 and that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbf{E}\left[\int_0^T |\mathbf{V}(s, \varepsilon \boldsymbol{x}(s))|\, ds\right]^p &\leq T^{p-1} \int_0^T \mathbf{E}\, |\mathbf{V}(s, \varepsilon \boldsymbol{x}(s))|^p\, ds \\ &= \mathbf{E}\, |\mathbf{V}(0, \mathbf{0})|^p\, T^p < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

For $p > 2$ the last term in (47) vanishes with ε. Thus the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

4 Proof of Lemmas 2 and 3

Proof of Lemma 2. We prove (31) by induction. The case $n = 1$ is obvious. We choose $\varphi_{i_1}^{(0)} \equiv 1$. Suppose that the result holds for n. Thus by (24), (25) and (9)

$$\begin{aligned} &\chi_{\lambda, i_1}^{(n+1)}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) \\ &= \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda(s-t)} \mathbf{E}\left\{\mathbf{V}(s, \boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \nabla \chi_{\lambda, i_1}^{(n)}(s, \boldsymbol{x}) \mid \mathcal{V}_{-\infty, t}\right\} ds \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{i}|^2, \mathcal{F}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \mathbf{E}\left\{\int_t^{+\infty} \int \cdots \int e^{-\lambda(s-t)} \varphi_{\mathbf{i}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}_1 1, \cdots, \mathbf{k}_n) P_{n-1}(\mathcal{F}) Q(\mathcal{F}) \frac{1}{\lambda + \sum\limits_{m \in A_n(\mathcal{F})} |\mathbf{k}_m|^{2\beta}} \right. \\ &\left. \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_0(s, \boldsymbol{x}, d\mathbf{k}_{n+1}) \cdot \nabla \left\{\prod_{m \in A_n(\mathcal{F})} \widehat{V}_{\sigma_m, i_m}(s, \boldsymbol{x}, d\mathbf{k}_m)\right\} ds | \mathcal{V}_{-\infty, t}\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

To calculate (48) we decompose each $\widehat{V}_{\sigma,i}(s, \boldsymbol{x}, d\mathbf{k})$ as $\widehat{V}_{\sigma,i}(s, \boldsymbol{x}, d\mathbf{k}) = \widehat{V}_{\sigma,i}^0(s, \boldsymbol{x}, d\mathbf{k}) + \widehat{V}_{\sigma,i}^1(s, \boldsymbol{x}, d\mathbf{k})$ where

$$\widehat{V}_{\sigma,i}^0(s, \boldsymbol{x}, d\mathbf{k}) = e^{-|\mathbf{k}|^{2\beta}(s-t)} \widehat{V}_{\sigma,i}(t, \boldsymbol{x}, d\mathbf{k}) \tag{49}$$

is the orthogonal projection onto $L^2_{-\infty,t}$ and

$$\widehat{V}^1_{\sigma,i}(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}) = (1 - e^{-|\mathbf{k}|^{2\beta}(s-t)})\widehat{V}_{\sigma,i}(t,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}) \tag{50}$$

the orthogonal complement. We have $\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_\sigma(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}) = \widehat{\mathbf{V}}^0_\sigma(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}) + \widehat{\mathbf{V}}^1_\sigma(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k})$.

Expression (48) becomes

$$\sum_{\mathbf{i}|^2,\mathcal{F},\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \int\limits_t^{+\infty} \mathbf{E}\left[\int \cdots \int e^{-\lambda(s-t)} \varphi^{(n)}_{\mathbf{i},\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(\mathbf{k}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{k}_n) P_{n-1}(\mathcal{F}) Q(\mathcal{F}) \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}) \mid \mathcal{V}_{-\infty,t}\right] ds \tag{51}$$

with

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}) := \frac{1}{\lambda + \sum\limits_{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F})} |\mathbf{k}_m|^{2\beta}} \sum_{\substack{\varrho=\{\varrho_j\} \\ j\in A_n(\mathcal{F})\cup\{n+1\}}} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_0^{\varrho_{n+1}}(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}_{n+1}) \cdot \nabla \left\{ \prod_{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F})} \widehat{V}^{\varrho_m}_{\sigma_m,i_m}(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}_m) \right\}.$$

The term corresponding to $\varrho_j \equiv 1$ vanishes, as is shown in the following calculation,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}\left\{ \int\limits_t^{+\infty} \left[\int \cdots \int e^{-\lambda(s-t)} \varphi^{(n)}_{\mathbf{i},\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(\mathbf{k}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{k}_n) P_{n-1}(\mathcal{F}) Q(\mathcal{F}) \left(\lambda + \sum_{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F})} |\mathbf{k}_m|^{2\beta}\right)^{-1} \right.\right. \\
&\left.\left. \widehat{\mathbf{V}}^1_0(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}_{n+1}) \cdot \nabla \left(\prod_{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F})} \widehat{V}^1_{\sigma_m,i_m}(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}_m) \right) \right] ds \right\} \\
= \;& \nabla \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{E} \int\limits_t^{+\infty} \left[\int \cdots \int e^{-\lambda(s-t)} \varphi^{(n)}_{\mathbf{i},\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(\mathbf{k}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{k}_n) P_{n-1}(\mathcal{F}) Q(\mathcal{F}) \right.\right. \\
&\left.\left. \left(\lambda + \sum_{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F})} |\mathbf{k}_m|^{2\beta}\right)^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}^1_0(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}_{n+1}) \prod_{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F})} \widehat{V}^1_{\sigma_m,i_m}(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}_m) \right] ds \right\} \\
= \;& 0
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

by homogeneity.

We use eqs. (10) and (11) to compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_0(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}_{n+1}) \cdot \nabla \left\{ \prod_{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F})} \widehat{V}_{\sigma_m,i_m}(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}_m) \right\} \\
= \;& \sum_{m'\in A_n(\mathcal{F})} \mathbf{k}_{m'} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{\sigma^{m'}_{n+1}}(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}_{n+1}) \prod_{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F})} \widehat{V}_{\sigma^{m'}_m,i_m}(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}_m)
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{m'}$ is a proper function obtain from $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ via procedure described by (29) with $p = m'$.

By (49), (50), (53) and the definition (32), (51) further reduces to

$$\sum_{\mathbf{i}|^2,\mathcal{F},\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \int\limits_t^{+\infty} \left\{ \int \cdots \int \sum_{i_{n+1}=1}^{d} \sum_{m'\in A_n(\mathcal{F})} \sum_{\mathcal{F}'} \varphi^{(n)}_{\mathbf{i},\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \frac{k_{m',i_{n+1}}}{\sum\limits_{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F})} |\mathbf{k}_m|} \right. \tag{54}$$

$$\exp\{-(\lambda+\sum_{m\in A(\mathcal{F}')}|\mathbf{k}_m|^{2\beta})\,(s-t)\}P_n(\mathcal{F})Q(\mathcal{F})\prod_{m\in A(\mathcal{F}')}\widehat{V}_{\sigma_m^{m'},i_m}(t,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}_m)$$

$$\prod_{\widehat{pq}\in E(\mathcal{F}')}\left[1-e^{-(|\mathbf{k}_p|^{2\beta}+|\mathbf{k}_q|^{2\beta})(s-t)}\right]\mathbf{E}\left[\widehat{V}_{\sigma_p^{m'},i_p}(0,\mathbf{0},d\mathbf{k}_p)\widehat{V}_{\sigma_q^{m'},i_q}(0,\mathbf{0},d\mathbf{k}_q)\right]\Bigg\}.$$

Here $\sum\limits_{\mathcal{F}'}$ denotes the summation over all incomplete Feynman diagrams $\mathcal{F}'$ based on the set $A_n(\mathcal{F})\cup\{n+1\}$.

The proof of the lemma is complete with

$$\varphi^{(n+1)}_{\mathbf{i},\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{m'}}(\mathbf{k}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{k}_{n+1}):=\varphi^{(n)}_{\mathbf{i},\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(\mathbf{k}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{k}_n)\frac{k_{m',i_{n+1}}}{\sum\limits_{m'\in A_n(\mathcal{F})}|\mathbf{k}_{m'}|}\cdot$$

$$\left(\lambda+\sum_{m\in A(\mathcal{F}')}|\mathbf{k}_m|^{2\beta}\right)\int\limits_t^{+\infty}e^{-(\lambda+\sum\limits_{m\in A(\mathcal{F}')}|\mathbf{k}_m|^{2\beta})(s-t)}\prod_{\widehat{pq}\in E(\mathcal{F}')}\left[1-e^{-(|\mathbf{k}_p|^{2\beta}+|\mathbf{k}_q|^{2\beta})(s-t)}\right]ds_{\Box}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.

We recall the *pseudogenerator* of the path corrector

$$\mathcal{L}\chi^{(n)}_\varepsilon(t):=\lim_{\delta\downarrow 0}\frac{\mathbf{E}\left[\chi^{(n)}_\varepsilon(t+\delta)\mid\mathcal{V}_{-\infty,\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}}\right]-\chi^{(n)}_\varepsilon(t)}{\delta}$$

in the L^1 sense. By a finite Taylor expansion we have

$$\chi^{(n)}_\varepsilon(t+\delta)=\varepsilon^n\chi^{(n)}_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{t+\delta}{\varepsilon^2},\boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(t))+R_\delta(t),\tag{55}$$

with

$$R_\delta(t)=\varepsilon^{n-1}\mathbf{U}^{(n)}_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2},\boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(t))\delta+o(\delta)$$

where $o(\delta)$ is in the L^p sense.

¿From (24) and (25) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^n\mathbf{E}\left[\chi^{(n)}_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{t+\delta}{\varepsilon^2},\boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(t))\mid\mathcal{V}_{-\infty,\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}}\right]&=\varepsilon^n\int\limits_{\frac{t+\delta}{\varepsilon^2}}^{+\infty}e^{-\varepsilon^2(s-\frac{t+\delta}{\varepsilon^2})}\mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{U}^{(n-1)}_{\varepsilon^2}(s,\boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(t))\mid\mathcal{V}_{-\infty,\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}}\right]\\&=\chi^{(n)}(t)+\delta\left[\chi^{(n)}(t)-\varepsilon^{n-2}\mathbf{U}^{(n-1)}_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2},\boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(t))\right]+o(\delta).\end{aligned}\tag{56}$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{L}\chi^{(n)}_\varepsilon(t)=\chi^{(n)}_\varepsilon(t)-\mathbf{U}^{(n-1)}_\varepsilon(t)+\mathbf{U}^{(n)}_\varepsilon(t)=\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(t;\boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(t))+\mathbf{U}^{(n)}_\varepsilon(t).\tag{57}$$

Here $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(t;\boldsymbol{x})$, $t\geq 0$ denotes the pseudogenerator of the process $\varepsilon^n\chi^{(n)}(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2},\boldsymbol{x})$, $t\geq 0$ calculated with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{V}_{-\infty,\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}}$, $t\geq 0$ for a fixed $\boldsymbol{x}\in R^d$. The processes

$$M^{(n)}_\varepsilon(t;\boldsymbol{x}):=\varepsilon^n\chi^{(n)}(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2},\boldsymbol{x})-\int\limits_0^t\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(s;\boldsymbol{x})ds,\quad\text{for a fixed }\boldsymbol{x}\in R^d\tag{58}$$

and

$$M_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(t)=\chi_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(t)-\int\limits_0^t\mathcal{L}\chi_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(s)ds \tag{59}$$

are both martingales (cf. Section 2).

The quadratic variation of the first martingale can be calculated with the help of Proposition 3 and it is given by

$$< M_{\varepsilon,i}^{(n)}(\cdot;\boldsymbol{x})M_{\varepsilon,j}^{(n)}(\cdot;\boldsymbol{x}) >_t=\int\limits_0^t W_{\varepsilon,i,j}^{(n)}(s,\boldsymbol{x})ds,$$

where

$$W_{\varepsilon,i,j}^{(n)}(s,\boldsymbol{x})= \tag{60}$$

$$\varepsilon^{2n-2}\sum{}'\int\cdots\int\varphi_{\mathbf{i},\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^{(n)}\varphi_{\mathbf{i}',\boldsymbol{\sigma}'}^{(n)}P_{n-1}(\mathcal{F})Q(\mathcal{F})P_{n-1}(\mathcal{F}')Q(\mathcal{F}')$$

$$\frac{a(|\mathbf{k}_q|)|\mathbf{k}_q|^{2\beta}}{|\mathbf{k}_q|^{2\alpha+d-2}(\varepsilon^2+\sum\limits_{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F})}|\mathbf{k}_m|^{2\beta})(\varepsilon^2+\sum\limits_{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F}')}|\mathbf{k}_m'|^{2\beta})}\left(\delta_{i_q,i'_{q'}}-\frac{k_{q,i_q}k_{q',i'_{q'}}}{|\mathbf{k}_q|^2}\right)$$

$$\delta_{\sigma_q,\sigma'_{q'}}\delta(\mathbf{k}_q-\mathbf{k}_{q'})d\mathbf{k}_qd\mathbf{k}_{q'}\prod_{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F}),m\neq q}\widehat{V}_{\sigma_m,i_m}(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2},\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}_m)\prod_{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F}'),m\neq q'}\widehat{V}_{\sigma'_m,i'_m}(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2},\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}'_m).$$

The summation on the right hand side of the above expression extends over all multiindices of length n, $\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}'$ whose first components are i and j correspondingly, all pairs of diagrams $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{F}'\in\mathcal{G}_s$ made of vertices of $\mathcal{S}=\{1,\cdots,n\}$, all pairs of proper functions $\boldsymbol{\sigma},\boldsymbol{\sigma}':\mathcal{S}\to\{0,1\}$ and all pairs of q,q' belonging to $A_n(\mathcal{F})$, $A_n(\mathcal{F}')$ respectively. We have denoted here $\mathbf{k}_m=(k_{m,1},\cdots,k_{m,d})$.

As for the martingale given by (59), after elementary calculations we get

$$< M_{\varepsilon,i}^{(n)},M_{\varepsilon,j}^{(n)} >_t=\int\limits_0^t\mathcal{L}\left\{M_{\varepsilon,i}^{(n)}M_{\varepsilon,j}^{(n)}\right\}(s)ds \tag{61}$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}\left\{M_{\varepsilon,i}^{(n)}M_{\varepsilon,j}^{(n)}\right\}(s)=\mathcal{L}\left\{\chi_{\varepsilon,i}^{(n)}\chi_{\varepsilon,j}^{(n)}\right\}(s)-\mathcal{L}\chi_{\varepsilon,i}^{(n)}(s)\chi_{\varepsilon,j}^{(n)}(s)-\chi_{\varepsilon,i}^{(n)}(s)\mathcal{L}\chi_{\varepsilon,j}^{(n)}(s). \tag{62}$$

Calculating precisely as in (55) we obtain that the first term on the right hand side of (62) equals

$$\mathcal{L}'_{\varepsilon,i,j}(s;\boldsymbol{x}_{\varepsilon}(s))+\chi_{\varepsilon,i}^{(n)}(s)U_{\varepsilon,j}^{(n)}(s)+U_{\varepsilon,i}^{(n)}(s)\chi_{\varepsilon,j}^{(n)}(s).$$

Here $\mathcal{L}'_{\varepsilon,i,j}(s;\boldsymbol{x})$, $s\geq 0$ denotes the pseudogenerator of the process $\varepsilon^{2n}\chi_i^{(n)}(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2},\boldsymbol{x})\chi_j^{(n)}(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2},\boldsymbol{x})$, $s\geq 0$ with $\boldsymbol{x}\in R^d$ fixed. Taking into account (57) we obtain that

$$\mathcal{L}\left\{M_{\varepsilon,i}^{(n)}M_{\varepsilon,j}^{(n)}\right\}(s)=W_{\varepsilon,i,j}^{(n)}(s,\boldsymbol{x}_{\varepsilon}(s)). \tag{63}$$

In particular, the above calculation shows that $M^{(0)}$ is a Brownian Motion whose covariance matrix equals (36).

4.1 Proof of eqs. (37) - (39).

We break the proof into two cases: $\alpha + 2\beta > 2$ and $\alpha + 2\beta \leq 2$.

The case of $\alpha + 2\beta > 2$. ¿From $\alpha + \beta < 1$ and $\alpha + 2\beta > 2$ it follows that $\beta > 1$. For $2\beta > 1$, there exists a constant $C(n,\beta)$, depending only on n and β, such that, for any $m_j \in A_j(\mathcal{F})$,

$$\frac{\sum\limits_{m\in A_j(\mathcal{F})} |\mathbf{k}_m|}{\varepsilon^2 + \sum\limits_{m\in A_j(\mathcal{F})} |\mathbf{k}_m|^{2\beta}} \leq C \frac{|\mathbf{k}_{m_0}| + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}{\varepsilon^2 + |\mathbf{k}_{m_0}|^{2\beta}}. \tag{64}$$

So,

$$P_{n-1}(\mathcal{F}) \leq C \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{|\mathbf{k}_{m_j}| + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}{\varepsilon^2 + |\mathbf{k}_{m_j}|^{2\beta}} \tag{65}$$

for any $m_j \in A_j(\mathcal{F})$. Let $m_j := j$ if j is *not* the right endpoint of an edge. Otherwise, let m_j be the closest free vertex to the left of the edge whose right endpoint is j.

¿From (65) we have

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\chi_{i_1}^{(n)}(0)\right]^2 \leq \tag{66}$$

$$C\varepsilon^{2n-2/\beta} \sum_{\mathbf{i}_{|2}} \sum_{\mathcal{F}\in\mathcal{G}_s,\sigma_l} \int \cdots \int \int \cdots \int \prod_{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F})} \left[\frac{(|\mathbf{k}_m| + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})(|\mathbf{k}'_m| + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})}{(\varepsilon^2 + |\mathbf{k}_m|^{2\beta})\,(\varepsilon^2 + |\mathbf{k}'_m|^{2\beta})}\right]^{1+\alpha_m}$$

$$\prod_{\widehat{pq}\in E(\mathcal{F})} \frac{(|\mathbf{k}_p| + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})(|\mathbf{k}'_p| + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})a(|\mathbf{k}_p|)a(|\mathbf{k}'_p|)d\mathbf{k}_p d\mathbf{k}'_p}{(\varepsilon^2 + |\mathbf{k}_p|^{2\beta})\left(\varepsilon^2 + |\mathbf{k}'_p|^{2\beta}\right)(|\mathbf{k}_p||\mathbf{k}'_p|)^{d+2\alpha-2}}$$

$$\left|\mathbf{E}\left[\prod_{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F})} \widehat{V}_{i_m}(0, d\mathbf{k}_m)\widehat{V}_{i_m}(0, d\mathbf{k}'_m)\right]\right|,$$

where $\alpha_m \geq 0$ is the number of *left* endpoints between a free vertex $m \in A_n(\mathcal{F})$ and the next free vertex $m' \in A_n(\mathcal{F})$. Here and below the variables $\mathbf{k}'_p$-s denote the second set of wavenumbers arising as a result of squaring of the right side of the expansion formula for $\chi^{(n)}$ (31). We also use the notation $\mathbf{k}_{n+p} = \mathbf{k}'_p$, thinking that the second copy of the diagram is based on the shifted set $\{n+1, n+2, ..., 2n\}$.

Adopting the notation, $k_p = |\mathbf{k}_p|$, subsequently and computing the expectation on the right side of (66) we get that

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\chi_{i_1}^{(n)}(0)\right]^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{2n-2/\beta} \sum_{\mathcal{F}\in\mathcal{G}_s} \sum_{\mathcal{F}'} \int_0^K \cdots \int_0^K \prod_{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F})} \left[\frac{(k_m + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})(k'_m + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})}{\left(\varepsilon^2 + k_m^{2\beta}\right)(\varepsilon^2 + (k'_m)^{2\beta})}\right]^{1+\alpha_m} \tag{67}$$

$$\prod_{\widehat{pq}\in E(\mathcal{F}')} \frac{\delta(k_p - k_q)dk_p dk_q}{k_p^{2\alpha-1}} \prod_{\widehat{pq}\in E(\mathcal{F})} \frac{(k_p + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})(k'_p + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})dk_p dk'_p}{\left(\varepsilon^2 + k_p^{2\beta}\right)\left(\varepsilon^2 + (k'_p)^{2\beta}\right)(k_p k'_p)^{2\alpha-1}}$$

where $\mathcal{F}'$ is summed over $\mathcal{G}_c(A_n(\mathcal{F})) \cup \{n + A_n(\mathcal{F})\}$.

Note that

$$c_n + 2 \sum_{m \in A_n(\mathcal{F})} \alpha_m = n, \tag{68}$$

where c_n denotes the cardinality of the set $A_n(\mathcal{F})$.

For $\alpha + 2\beta > 2$ the integrals with respect to the variables k_p, p - the vertices of the left edges of $\mathcal{F}'$, become unbounded when $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Indeed as a result of integrating out the Dirac's delta functions we obtain terms whose singularity at 0 are becoming, when $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ of the same order of magnitude as $\frac{1}{k_p^{(2+\alpha_p+\alpha_q)(2\beta-1)+2\alpha-1}} >> \frac{1}{k_p^{4\beta+2\alpha-3}}$. This fact gives rise to divergence of the corresponding improper integral at 0 in the above regime of parameters α, β. Since $\beta > 1$, otherwise $\alpha + 2\beta < 2$ and we are not considering that case here this singularity can b Then changing variables by setting $\tilde{k}_p = k_p \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}$ we obtain using (68) that

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\chi_{i_1}^{(n)}(0)\right]^2 \leq C \sum_{\mathcal{F}} \sum_{\mathcal{F}'} \varepsilon^{\frac{n-2+c_n+2c_n(1-\alpha-\beta)}{\beta}} \int_0^K \cdots \int_0^K \prod_{\widehat{pq} \in E(\mathcal{F})} \frac{(k_p + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})(k_p' + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}}) dk_p dk_p'}{\left(\varepsilon^2 + k_p^{2\beta}\right)\left(\varepsilon^2 + (k_p')^{2\beta}\right)(k_p k_p')^{2\alpha-1}} \tag{69}$$

$$\int_0^{K\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}} \cdots \int_0^{K\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}} \prod_{\widehat{pq} \in E(\mathcal{F}')} \frac{(\tilde{k}_p + 1)^{2+\alpha_p+\alpha_q} d\tilde{k}_p}{\left(\tilde{k}_p^{2\beta} + 1\right)^{2+\alpha_p+\alpha_q} \tilde{k}_p^{2\alpha-1}}.$$

The first multiple integral appearing on the right hand side of (69) remains bounded when $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ thanks to $\alpha + \beta < 1$. The utmost right hand side factor however becomes then a product of improper integrals. The rate of decay of the integrand corresponding to index p in that integral is the same as for

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{k}_p^{(2\beta-1)(2+\alpha_p+\alpha_q)+2\alpha-1}} << \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_p^{4\beta+2\alpha-3}}, \quad \text{for } \tilde{k}_p >> 1.$$

Since $\alpha + 2\beta > 2$ the integrals involved are convergent. (37) follows then from the fact that $c_n \geq 1$.

Proof of (39). From Lemma 2 and (25) we have that

$$U_{i_1}^{(n)}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i}_{|1} = i_1} \sum_{\mathcal{F}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \int \cdots \int \varphi_{\mathbf{i}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}}^{(n+1)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{k}_{n+1}) P_n(\mathcal{F}) Q(\mathcal{F}) \tag{70}$$

$$\prod_{m \in A_n(\mathcal{F}) \cup \{n+1\}} \widehat{V}_{\sigma_m, i_m}(t, \boldsymbol{x}, d\mathbf{k}_m), \quad i_1 = 1, \cdots, d,$$

where $\mathbf{U}^{(n)} = (U_1^{(n)}, \cdots, U_d^{(n)})$ and $|\varphi_{\mathbf{i}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}}^{(n+1)}| \leq 1$. $\mathbf{E}|\mathbf{U}_n(0, \mathbf{0})|^2$ can be estimated in the same way as $\chi^{(n)}$. We outline the argument in the following.

First (65) and the choice of m_j we get a bound, analogous to (66):

$$\varepsilon^{2(n-1)} \mathbf{E}|\mathbf{U}^{(n)}(0, \mathbf{0})|^2 \leq$$

$$C\varepsilon^{2(n-1)}\sum_{\mathbf{i}}\sum_{\mathcal{F}}\int\cdots\int\prod_{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F})\cup\{n+1\}}\left[\frac{(|\mathbf{k}_m|+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})(|\mathbf{k}'_m|+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})}{(\varepsilon^2+|\mathbf{k}_m|^{2\beta})\,(\varepsilon^2+|\mathbf{k}'_m|^{2\beta})}\right]^{1+\alpha_m}$$

$$\prod_{\widehat{pq}\in E(\mathcal{F})}\frac{(|\mathbf{k}_p|+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})(|\mathbf{k}'_p|+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})a(|\mathbf{k}_p|)a(|\mathbf{k}'_p|)d\mathbf{k}_p d\mathbf{k}'_p}{(\varepsilon^2+|\mathbf{k}_p|^{2\beta})\left(\varepsilon^2+|\mathbf{k}'_p|^{2\beta}\right)(|\mathbf{k}_p||\mathbf{k}'_p|)^{d+2\alpha-2}}$$

$$\left|\mathbf{E}\left[\prod_{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F})\cup\{n+1\}}\widehat{V}_{i_m}(0,d\mathbf{k}_m)\widehat{V}_{i_m}(0,d\mathbf{k}'_m)\right]\right|.$$

Here we define $\alpha_{n+1}=-1$. Repeating the calculations leading to (69) and noting

$$c_n+2\sum_{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F})\cup n+1}\alpha_m=n-2, \tag{71}$$

we obtain using (71)

$$\varepsilon^{2(n-1)}\mathbf{E}|\mathbf{U}^{(n)}(0,\mathbf{0})|^2\le \tag{72}$$

$$C\sum_{\mathcal{F}\in\mathcal{G}_s}\sum_{\mathcal{F}'}\varepsilon^{\frac{n+c_n+2(c_n+1)(1-\alpha-\beta)}{\beta}}\int_0^K\cdots\int_0^K\prod_{\widehat{pq}\in E(\mathcal{F})}\frac{(k_p+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})(k'_p+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})dk_p dk'_p}{\left(\varepsilon^2+k_p^{2\beta}\right)\left(\varepsilon^2+(k'_p)^{2\beta}\right)(k_pk'_p)^{2\alpha-1}}$$

$$\int_0^{K\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}}\cdots\int_0^{K\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}}\prod_{\substack{p<q\\ \widehat{pq}\in E(\mathcal{F}')}}\frac{(\tilde{k}_p+1)^{2+\alpha_p+\alpha_q}d\tilde{k}_p}{\left(\tilde{k}_p^{2\beta}+1\right)^{2+\alpha_p+\alpha_q}\tilde{k}_p^{2\alpha-1}}$$

with $\mathcal{F}'\in\mathcal{G}_c(A_n(\mathcal{F})\cup\{n+1\}\cup\{n+1+A_n(\mathcal{F})\cup\{n+1\}\}$.

The multiple integral appearing in the last factor on the right hand side of (72) becomes improper when we pass to the limit with $\varepsilon\downarrow 0$. However the integrals involving variables with both α_p,α_q nonnegative are convergent since $\alpha+2\beta>2$. The only possible divergence comes therefore from the factors involving $\alpha_{n+1}=-1$. The worst type of divergence could take place for the exponent α_{n+1} appearing twice in such a factor. This case corresponds to an occurrence of the edge $(n+1,2n+2)$. The end result is then the following asymptotic

$$\varepsilon^{2(n-1)}\mathbf{E}|\mathbf{U}^{(n)}(0,\mathbf{0})|^2\le C\sum_{\mathcal{F}}\varepsilon^{\frac{n+c_n+2(c_n+1)(1-\alpha-\beta)-2+2\alpha}{\beta}}\le C\varepsilon^{\frac{n+3-2\alpha-4\beta}{\beta}}.$$

The assertion of the lemma follows for $n\ge 2[\beta]$.

Proof of (39). We can use (63) to represent the quadratic variation of the martingale in question. After taking its expectation and using [10] we get the following estimate, valid for any $p\ge 1$

$$\mathbf{E}|M_\varepsilon^{(n)}(t)|^p\le Ct^p\sum_{i=1}^d\left(\mathbf{E}W_{\varepsilon,i,i}^{(n)}(0,\mathbf{0})\right)^{p/2} \tag{73}$$

where $W^{(n)}_{\varepsilon,i,i}$ is defined by (60). On the other hand using that formula one gets estimating in the precisely same way as it was done for (37) and (38) that

$$\mathbf{E}W^{(n)}_{\varepsilon,i,i}(0,\mathbf{0})^2 \le C\varepsilon^{2n-2}\sum_{\mathbf{i}}\sum_{\mathcal{F}\in\mathcal{G}_s}\sum_{m'\in A_n(\mathcal{F})}\sum_{\mathcal{F}'}\underbrace{\int_0^K\cdots\int_0^K}_{2n\quad integrals} \tag{74}$$

$$\prod_{\substack{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F})\\ m\neq m'}}\left[\frac{(k_m+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})(k'_m+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})}{\left(k_m^{2\beta}+\varepsilon^2\right)\left((k'_m)^{2\beta}+\varepsilon^2\right)}\right]^{1+\alpha_m}\frac{k_{m'}^{2\beta}}{k_{m'}^{2\alpha-1}(k_{m'}^{2\beta}+\varepsilon^2)^2}\left(\frac{k_{m'}+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}{k_{m'}^{2\beta}+\varepsilon^2}\right)^{2\alpha_{m'}}$$

$$\prod_{\widehat{pq}\in E(\mathcal{F}')}\frac{\delta(k_p-k_q)dk_pdk_q}{k_p^{2\alpha-1}}\prod_{\widehat{pq}\in E(\mathcal{F})}\frac{(k_p+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})(k'_p+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})dk_pdk'_p}{\left(\varepsilon^2+k_p^{2\beta}\right)\left(\varepsilon^2+(k'_p)^{2\beta}\right)(k_pk'_p)^{2\alpha-1}}$$

with $\mathcal{F}'\in\mathcal{G}_c(A_n(\mathcal{F})\cup\{n+A_n(\mathcal{F})\}\ \{m',m'+n\}$.

Two situations arise: $\alpha_{m'}=0$ and $\alpha_{m'}\ge 1$. For $\alpha_{m'}=0$, with an appropriate change of variables we rewrite the term in the above summations

$$\varepsilon^{\frac{2(c_n-1)(1-\alpha-\beta)+c_n+n-2}{\beta}}\int_0^K\cdots\int_0^K\prod_{\widehat{pq}\in E(\mathcal{F})}\frac{(k_p+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})(k'_p+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})dk_pdk'_p}{\left(\varepsilon^2+k_p^{2\beta}\right)\left(\varepsilon^2+(k'_p)^{2\beta}\right)(k_pk'_p)^{2\alpha-1}}$$

$$\int_0^K\frac{k_{m'}^{2\beta}}{k_{m'}^{2\alpha-1}(k_{m'}^{2\beta}+\varepsilon^2)^2}dk_{m'}\int_0^{K\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}}\cdots\int_0^{K\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}}\prod_{\substack{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F})\\ m'\neq m}}\left[\frac{(k_m+1)(k'_m+1)}{\left(k_m^{2\beta}+1\right)\left((k'_m)^{2\beta}+1\right)}\right]^{1+\alpha_m}$$

$$\prod_{\widehat{pq}\in E(\mathcal{F}')}\frac{\delta(k_p-k_q)dk_pdk_q}{k_p^{2\alpha-1}}\sim C\varepsilon^{\frac{2(c_n-1)(1-\alpha-\beta)+c_n+n-2}{\beta}}.$$

For $\alpha_{m'}\ge 1$, a similar calculation leads to

$$\varepsilon^{2n-2}\int_0^K\cdots\int_0^K\prod_{\widehat{pq}\in E(\mathcal{F})}\frac{(k_p+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})(k'_p+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\beta}})dk_pdk'_p}{\left(\varepsilon^2+k_p^{2\beta}\right)\left(\varepsilon^2+(k'_p)^{2\beta}\right)(k_pk'_p)^{2\alpha-1}}$$

$$\int_0^{K\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}}\cdots\int_0^{K\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}}\frac{k_{m'}^{2\beta}}{k_{m'}^{2\alpha-1}(k_{m'}^{2\beta}+\varepsilon^2)^2}\prod_{\substack{m\in A_n(\mathcal{F})\\ m'\neq m}}\left[\frac{(k_m+1)(k'_m+1)}{\left(k_m^{2\beta}+1\right)\left((k'_m)^{2\beta}+1\right)}\right]^{1+\alpha_m}$$

$$\prod_{\widehat{pq}\in E(\mathcal{F}')}\frac{\delta(k_p-k_q)dk_pdk_q}{k_p^{2\alpha-1}}dk_{m'}\sim C\varepsilon^{\frac{2c_n(1-\alpha-\beta)+c_n+n-2}{\beta}}.$$

In either situation, each term is of order $O(\varepsilon^\gamma)$ for some $\gamma>0$, and $\forall n\ge 2$.

The special case of $\alpha+2\beta=2$ can be similarly analyzed. This time, some integrals would diverge logarithmically, as $\varepsilon\to 0$, but would be controlled by factors of order $O(\varepsilon^\gamma)$ for some $\gamma>0$.

The case of $\alpha + 2\beta < 2$. We shall prove (37)-(39) only for $n = 1$. This is sufficient for the proof of Theorem 1. The general case requires evaluating multiple spectral integrals as in the previous case of $\alpha + 2\beta \geq 2$, only much more easily because the corresponding improper integrals are convergent.

We have

$$\mathbf{E}\left|\chi_\varepsilon^{(1)}(t)\right|^2 = \mathbf{E}\left|\chi_\varepsilon^{(1)}(0)\right|^2 \leq \varepsilon^2 \int\limits_0^{+\infty} \frac{\mathcal{E}(k)}{(\varepsilon^2 + k^{2\beta})^2} dk \leq$$

$$C\varepsilon^{\frac{2(1-\alpha-\beta)}{\beta}} \int\limits_0^{+\infty} \frac{dk}{(1 + k^{2\beta})^2 k^{2\alpha-1}}$$

which vanishes, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, for $\alpha + \beta < 1$. Also,

$$\mathbf{E}\left|\mathbf{U}_\lambda^{(1)}(0,\mathbf{0})\right|^2 \leq C\mathbf{E}\left|\mathbf{V}(0,\mathbf{0})\right|^2 \mathbf{E}\left|\nabla\chi_\lambda^{(1)}(0,\mathbf{0})\right|^2 \tag{75}$$

and, consequently,

$$\limsup_{\lambda\downarrow 0} \mathbf{E}\left|\mathbf{U}_\lambda^{(1)}(0,\mathbf{0})\right|^2 < +\infty \tag{76}$$

as

$$\mathbf{E}\left|\nabla\chi_\lambda^{(1)}(0,\mathbf{0})\right|^2 \leq \int\limits_{R^d} \frac{|\mathbf{k}|^2\mathcal{E}(|\mathbf{k}|)}{(\lambda + |\mathbf{k}|^{2\beta})^2} d\mathbf{k} \leq C(1 + \lambda^{\frac{2-\alpha-2\beta}{\beta}}) \tag{77}$$

is bounded, as $\lambda \to 0$, for $\alpha + 2\beta < 2$. The inequality (76) holds for any p-th moment of $\mathbf{U}_\lambda^{(1)}$, with $p > 0$ because of Gaussianity of the velocity field.

We also have

$$\mathbf{E}\left|\int\limits_0^t \mathbf{U}_{\varepsilon^2}^{(1)}(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(s))ds\right|^2 = 2\int\limits_0^t ds \int\limits_0^s \mathbf{E}\left\{\mathbf{U}_{\varepsilon^2}^{(1)}(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(s)) \cdot \mathbf{U}_{\varepsilon^2}^{(1)}(\frac{s_1}{\varepsilon^2}, \boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(s_1))\right\} ds_1$$

$$= 2\int\limits_0^t ds \int\limits_0^s \mathbf{E}\left\{\mathbf{U}_{\varepsilon^2}^{(1)}(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(s_1)) \cdot \mathbf{U}_{\varepsilon^2}^{(1)}(\frac{s_1}{\varepsilon^2}, \boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(s_1))\right\} ds_1 + \tag{78}$$

$$\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\int\limits_0^t ds \int\limits_0^s ds_1 \int\limits_{s_1}^s \mathbf{E}\left\{\left(\mathbf{V}(\frac{s_2}{\varepsilon^2}, \boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(s_2)) \cdot \nabla\mathbf{U}_{\varepsilon^2}^{(1)}(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(s_2))\right) \cdot \mathbf{U}_{\varepsilon^2}^{(1)}(\frac{s_1}{\varepsilon^2}, \boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(s_1))\right\} ds_2$$

Because of stationarity of $\mathbf{U}_{\varepsilon^2}^{(1)}$ along the trajectory (see [10]) the first term of (78) equals

$$2\int\limits_0^t ds \int\limits_0^s \mathbf{E}\left\{\mathbf{U}_{\varepsilon^2}^{(1)}(\frac{s_1}{\varepsilon^2}, \mathbf{0}) \cdot \mathbf{U}_{\varepsilon^2}^{(1)}(0,\mathbf{0})\right\} ds_1 =$$

$$2\int\limits_0^t ds \int\limits_0^s \mathbf{E}\left\{\left(\mathbf{V}(\frac{s_1}{\varepsilon^2}, \mathbf{0}; 0) \cdot \nabla\chi_{\varepsilon^2}^{(1)}(\frac{s_1}{\varepsilon^2}, \mathbf{0}; 0)\right) \cdot \mathbf{U}_{\varepsilon^2}^{(1)}(0,\mathbf{0})\right\} ds_1. \tag{79}$$

Here $\mathbf{V}(\cdot,\cdot;0)$ denotes the orthogonal projection of $\mathbf{V}(\cdot,\cdot)$ onto $L^2_{-\infty,0}$. A similar notation has been used for $\nabla\chi_{\varepsilon^2}$.

By incompressibility of the velocity

$$\mathbf{U}_\lambda^{(1)}(t,\boldsymbol{x};0)=\mathbf{V}(t,\boldsymbol{x};0)\cdot\chi_\lambda^{(1)}(t,\boldsymbol{x};0).$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we estimate (79) by

$$C_1(\mathbf{E}|\mathbf{U}_{\varepsilon^2}^{(1)}(0,\mathbf{0})|^4)^{1/4}(\mathbf{E}|\mathbf{V}(0,\mathbf{0})|^4)^{1/4}\left(\int\limits_0^t ds\int\limits_0^s \mathbf{E}|\nabla\chi_{\varepsilon^2}^{(1)}(\frac{s_1}{\varepsilon^2},\mathbf{0};0)|^2 ds_1\right)^{1/2}. \tag{80}$$

Since the fourth moment of $\mathbf{U}_{\varepsilon^2}^{(1)}$ remains bounded as $\varepsilon\downarrow 0$, (80) can be estimated by using (17) and (77) as

$$C_2\left[\int\limits_0^t\int\limits_0^K \frac{\varepsilon^2[1-\exp(-\frac{2k^{2\beta}s}{\varepsilon^2})]}{k^{2\beta}}\times\frac{k^2}{k^{2\alpha-1}(\varepsilon^2+k^{2\beta})^2}dkds\right]^{1/2}, \tag{81}$$

which tends to 0 for $\alpha+2\beta<2$, in view of the Dominant Convergence Theorem.

The second term in (78) can be rewritten, after a simple change of variables, as

$$\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\int\limits_0^t ds\int\limits_0^s ds_2\int\limits_{s_2}^s \mathbf{E}\left\{\left(\mathbf{V}(\frac{s_2}{\varepsilon^2},\boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(s_2))\cdot\nabla\mathbf{U}_{\varepsilon^2}^{(1)}(\frac{s_1}{\varepsilon^2},\boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(s_2);\frac{s_2}{\varepsilon^2})\right)\cdot\mathbf{U}_{\varepsilon^2}^{(1)}(0,\mathbf{0})\right\}ds_1. \tag{82}$$

By the spectral representation of $\mathbf{V}$ we obtain

$$\nabla\mathbf{U}_{\varepsilon^2}^{(1)}(t,\boldsymbol{x};s)=\int\limits_{R^d}\int\limits_{R^d}\frac{\exp\left\{-\frac{(|\mathbf{k}|^{2\beta}+|\mathbf{k}_1|^{2\beta})(t-s)}{\varepsilon^2}\right\}}{|\mathbf{k}|^{2\beta}+\varepsilon^2}\mathbf{W}(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k},d\mathbf{k}_1)$$

where

$$\mathbf{W}(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k},d\mathbf{k}_1):=\mathbf{k}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{V}}_1(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}_1)\mathbf{k}_1\otimes\hat{\mathbf{V}}(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k})+\mathbf{k}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{V}}(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}_1)\mathbf{k}\otimes\hat{\mathbf{V}}_1(s,\boldsymbol{x},d\mathbf{k}).$$

Moreover by stationarity of the Lagrangian velocity experienced by the particle (see [10]) and incompressibility of velocity we have

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\mathbf{E}\left|\int\limits_{s_2}^s\nabla\mathbf{U}_{\varepsilon^2}^{(1)}(\frac{s_1}{\varepsilon^2},\boldsymbol{x}_\varepsilon(s_2);\frac{s_2}{\varepsilon^2})ds_1\right|^2=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\mathbf{E}\left|\int\limits_0^{s-s_2}\nabla\mathbf{U}_{\varepsilon^2}^{(1)}(\frac{s_1}{\varepsilon^2},\mathbf{0};0)ds_1\right|^2= \tag{83}$$

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\mathbf{E}\left|\int\limits_{R^d}\int\limits_{R^d}\frac{\varepsilon^2\left[1-\exp\left\{-\frac{(|\mathbf{k}|^{2\beta}+|\mathbf{k}_1|^{2\beta})(s-s_2)}{\varepsilon^2}\right\}\right]}{(|\mathbf{k}|^{2\beta}+\varepsilon^2)(|\mathbf{k}|^{2\beta}+|\mathbf{k}_1|^{2\beta})}\mathbf{W}(0,\mathbf{0},d\mathbf{k},d\mathbf{k}_1)\right|^2,$$

which equals

$$\int\limits_{R^d}\int\limits_{R^d}\int\limits_{R^d}\int\limits_{R^d} \frac{\left[1-\exp\left\{-\frac{(|\mathbf{k}|^{2\beta}+|\mathbf{k}_1|^{2\beta})(s-s_2)}{\varepsilon^2}\right\}\right]}{(|\mathbf{k}|^{2\beta}+\varepsilon^2)(|\mathbf{k}|^{2\beta}+|\mathbf{k}_1|^{2\beta})} \times \frac{\varepsilon^2\left[1-\exp\left\{-\frac{(|\mathbf{k}'|^{2\beta}+|\mathbf{k}_1'|^{2\beta})(s-s_2)}{\varepsilon^2}\right\}\right]}{(|\mathbf{k}'|^{2\beta}+|\mathbf{k}_1'|^{2\beta})(|\mathbf{k}'|^{2\beta}+\varepsilon^2)}$$

$$\mathbf{E}\left\{\mathbf{W}(0,\mathbf{0},d\mathbf{k},d\mathbf{k}_1)\mathbf{W}(0,\mathbf{0},d\mathbf{k}',d\mathbf{k}_1')\right\}.$$

By incompressibility of velocity again, the above expectation equals

$$\left[\left(|\mathbf{k}_1|^2\mathrm{tr}\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{k}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{k}_1)\mathbf{k}+|\mathbf{k}|^2\mathbf{k}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{k}_1)\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{k}_1\right)\delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_1')\delta(\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{k}')+\right.$$

$$\left.\left(|\mathbf{k}|^2\mathrm{tr}\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{k}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{k}_1)\mathbf{k}+|\mathbf{k}_1|^2\mathbf{k}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{k}_1)\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{k}_1\right)\delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')\delta(\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{k}_1')\right]d\mathbf{k}d\mathbf{k}_1d\mathbf{k}'d\mathbf{k}_1'.$$

Thus we can bound the utmost left hand side of (83) by

$$C\left\{\int\limits_0^K\int\limits_0^K \frac{k^3(k+k_1)}{(k^{2\beta}+\varepsilon^2)^2k^{2\alpha-1}k_1^{2(\beta+\alpha)-1}} \times \frac{\varepsilon^2\left[1-\exp\left\{-\frac{(k^{2\beta}+k_1^{2\beta})(s-s_2)}{\varepsilon^2}\right\}\right]}{(k^{2\beta}+k_1^{2\beta})}dkdk_1+\right. \tag{84}$$

$$\left.\int\limits_0^K\int\limits_0^K \frac{k^3(k+k_1)}{k^{2\alpha+\beta-1}k_1^{2\alpha+\beta-1}(k^{2\beta}+\varepsilon^2)(k_1^{2\beta}+\varepsilon^2)} \times \frac{\varepsilon^2\left[1-\exp\left\{-\frac{(k^{2\beta}+k_1^{2\beta})(s-s_2)}{\varepsilon^2}\right\}\right]}{(k^{2\beta}+k_1^{2\beta})}dkdk_1\right\},$$

with the constant C independent of ε. For $\alpha+2\beta<2$, (84) tends to zero, as $\varepsilon\downarrow 0$, by the Dominant Convergence Theorem. Thus, the second term of (78) vanishes with $\varepsilon_{\square}$

References

[1] R. J. Adler:*Geometry of Random Fields*, Wiley, New York, 1981.

[2] R. A. Carmona and J. P. Fouque: *Diffusion approximation for the advection diffusion of a passive scalar by a space-time Gaussian velocity field*, Seminar on Stochastic Analysis, Random Fields and Applications. (Edited by E. Bolthausen, M. Dozzi and F. Russo). Birkhauser, Basel, 37-50, 1994.

[3] I. I. Gihman and A. V. Skorohod: *Theory of Stochastic Processes I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.

[4] J. Glimm and A. Jaffe: *Quantum Physics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.

[5] I. S. Helland: *Central limit theorems for martingales with discrete or continuous time*, Scan J. Statist. 9(1982), pp. 79-94.

[6] S. Janson *Gaussian Hilbert Spaces*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. 129, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997.

[7] H. Kesten, G. C. Papanicolaou: *A limit theorem for turbulent diffusion*, Comm. Math. Phys. **65** (1979), pp. 97-128.

[8] R. Kubo: *Stochastic Liouville equation*, J. Math. Phys. **4** (1963), pp. 174-184.

[9] H. J. Kushner:*Weak Convergence Methods and Singularly Perturbed Stochastic Control and Filtering Problems*, Birkhuser, 1990.

[10] S. C. Port and C. Stone: *Random measures and their application to motion in an incompressible fluid*, J. Appl. Prob. **13** (1976), pp. 499-506.

[11] M. Rosenblatt: *Markov Processes. Structure and Asymptotic Behavior*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1971.

[12] Yu. A. Rozanov. *Stationary Random Processes*, Holden-Day, 1967.

[13] Yu. A. Rozanov. *Markov Random Fields*, Springer Verlag, 1980.

[14] Ya. G. Sinai. *On higher order spectral measures of ergodic stationary processes*, Theory Probab. Appl. **8** (1963), pp. 429-436.

[15] A. N. Schirayev. *Some questions on spectral theory of higher moments*, Theory Probab. Appl. (Russian) **5** (1960), pp. 295-313.

[16] G.I. Taylor: *Diffusions by continuous movements*, Proc. London Math. Soc. Ser. 2, **20** (1923), pp. 196-211.